

## **REMARKS**

The Office Action mailed June 11, 2004, set forth a restriction requirement alleging that

- claims 1-24 were drawn to a first invention, while claims 25-56 were drawn to a second invention.
- Although Applicants do not agree with this restriction requirement, to further the prosecution of this application, Applicants hereby elect claim Group 2 for continued prosecution, and have canceled claims 1-24, without prejudice or disclaimer. Applicants reserve the right to pursue claims 1-24 in a divisional application.

Further, the Office Action alleged that FIGs 2A and 2B represented two distinct species of invention, but acknowledged that at least claim 25 was generic to both. Applicant hereby elects the species of FIG. 2A for continued prosecution, and submits that claims 25-37 and 41-52 read directly on this embodiment.

All remaining claims 25-56 are believed to be in condition for allowance, and the Examiner is respectfully requested to pass those claims to issuance. If the Examiner believes a teleconference will expedite the examination of this application, the Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned attorney at 770-933-9500.

No fee is believed to be due in connection with this Amendment and Response to Restriction Requirement. If, however, any fee is deemed to be payable, you are hereby authorized to charge any such fee to deposit account 20-0778.

Respectfully submitted ,

**THOMAS, KAYDEN, HORSTEMEYER  
& RISLEY, L.L.P.**

By:

  
**Daniel R. McClure, Reg. No. 38,962**

100 Galleria Parkway  
Suite 1750  
Atlanta, Georgia 30339-5948  
(770) 933-9500